

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/771,273	01/26/2001	Akihiro Shimotsu	A0-234 US	7981	
23683 7:	590 04/16/2003				
MOLEX INCORPORATED			EXAMINER		
2222 WELLINGTON COURT LISLE, IL 60532			DOAN, JE	DOAN, JENNIFER	
		,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2874 DATE MAILED: 04/16/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Andieus Commission	09/771,273	SHIMOTSU, AKIHIRO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jennifer Doan	2874				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a rep within the statutory minimum of thirty ( will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  15 from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 J	lanuary 2003 .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,6,7 and 10-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-5,8 and 9</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/771,273

Art Unit: 2874

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

### Specification

2. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 6, 7 and 10-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Szostak (U.S. Patent 4,815,809).

Szostak discloses (Fig. 2) a method and an apparatus of a ferrule for an optical fiber connector comprising a capillary (30) having a pair of opposing ends, an outer surface extending between the opposing ends (Fig. 2); a hole extending between the

Application/Control Number: 09/771,273

Art Unit: 2874

opposing ends for insertion of an optical fiber (10) strand therein and a flange molded as a separate component from the capillary onto the capillary outer surface (Fig. 2, column 4, lines 1-29); wherein the flange is molded from a plastic material (column 3, lines 51-52) and the flange has a cylindrical outer surface comprising a large diameter portion and a small diameter portion as shown in Fig. 6; wherein the capillary is provided of one material and the flange is molded of a different material and the capillary is provided of a hard material and the flange is molded of a softer plastic material (column 2, lines 64-65 and column 3, lines 50-52) and further the flange is molded of a material including resins such as PBT containing glass fiber, polyetherimide and a liquid crystal polymer containing glass fiber (column 3, lines 50-54).

Szostak does not explicitly disclose a flange molded onto intermediate the capillary opposing ends such that the capillary outer surface proximate each opposing end is not covered by the molded flange as recited in claims 1 and 7. However, the flange molded onto intermediate the capillary opposing ends is considered to be obvious, since Fig. 2 of Szostak shows the capillary is supported in a mold fixture and the plastic ferrule body is injection molded around the capillary; therefore, the other end of the capillary has to be supported in a molded flange and ferrule body as the shown end of the capillary. Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Szostak's device with a flange molded onto intermediate the capillary opposing ends for obtaining more protection.

Szostak discloses the claimed invention except for the capillary provided of a material such as zirconia as recited in claims 12, 14, 17 and 19. However, it would have

Art Unit: 2874

been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ zirconia to make the capillary, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Page 4

### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 3-5, 8 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art fails to disclose or reasonably suggest an apparatus and a method of making a ferrule comprising a recess and projection portions being formed at an interface between the capillary outer surface and the flange as recited in claims 3 and 8; further wherein forming a projecting portion in the capillary outer surface and filling a space surrounding the projecting portion with a molding material during molding as recited in claim 9.

Claims 4 and 5 are dependent on the objected claim 3.

### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments, see pages 3-4, filed 01/30/2003, with respect to the rejection of claims 1-9 under Kurokawa et al. have been fully considered and are persuasive. The examiner agrees with the applicant that Kurokawa et al. do not teach a ferrule includes a capillary having a separate flange molded thereabout to mount the

Art Unit: 2874

capillary in an optical fiber connector. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. In view of further search, however, and the consequent discovery of relevant prior art documents to explicitly show this feature, a new rejection is applied. This action is made final.

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning the merits of this communication should be directed to Examiner Jennifer Doan whose telephone number is (703) 308-6179. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 6:30am to 4:00pm, first Friday off.

Application/Control Number: 09/771,273

Art Unit: 2874

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney Bovernick, can be reached on (703) 308-4819. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7724.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

JD J)

April 09, 2003

AKM ENAYET ULLAH PRIMARY EXAMINER